

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-24 remain pending.

Yofu (U.S. Patent 6,408,243) fails to anticipate the present claimed subject matter of claims 1-24 as Yofu fails to disclose at least “a navigation device adapted for connecting to the user device . . . and transmitting navigation information to the user device.” A rejection based on 35 U.S.C. §102 requires every element of the claim to be included in the reference, either directly or inherently. Because Yofu fails to disclose at the above limitation, there can be no anticipation and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Specifically, client-side terminal device 11 fails to receive navigation information from navigation apparatus 14. Nor does Yofu disclose navigation apparatus 14 transmitting navigation information to client-side terminal device 11. See, e.g., FIG. 2 in which information flows from device 11 to shop side terminal device 13 or navigation apparatus 14 via navigation server apparatus 12 and not from navigation apparatus 14 to device 11.

Based on the foregoing, claim 1 is patentable over Yofu and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 2-8, and 21-22 depend, either directly or indirectly, from claim 1, include further important limitations, and are patentable over Yofu for at least the reasons advanced above with respect to claim 1. The rejection of claims 2-8, and 21-22 should be withdrawn.

Further specifically with reference to claim 3, Yofu fails to disclose that the navigation devices receives business-related information from the user device, i.e., client side terminal device 11 corresponding to user device as asserted by the Examiner. Should the Examiner desire to apply the shop side terminal device 13 as the user device, the above arguments with respect to claim 1 still apply and claim 3 remains patentable over Yofu. For at least this reason, and the reasons advanced above with respect to claim 1, the rejection of claim 3 should be withdrawn.

Further specifically with reference to claim 4, Yofu fails to disclose navigation apparatus 14 combining navigation information and information from client side terminal 11 and communicating the combined information to client side terminal 11. The Examiner asserts without reference to a disclosure in Yofu that Yofu discloses “[t]he combined information of

server 12 terminal 13 and navigational device 14 is communicated to terminal 11." The Examiner is requested to identify a supporting disclosure in the reference or withdraw the rejection. For at least this reason, and the reasons advanced above with respect to claim 1, the rejection of claim 4 should be withdrawn.

Claims 9-16, and 23-24 have not been addressed by the Examiner and lacking any applied art rejections a notice of allowance is in order and requested.

Further, claim 9 is patentable over Yofu for at least reasons similar to those advanced above with respect to claim 1 and the rejection of claim 9 should be withdrawn.

Specifically, in addition to the above-noted reasons with respect to claim 1, Yofu fails to disclose a user device, i.e., client side terminal 11 corresponding to user device as asserted by the Examiner, which is installed in a vehicle and is removable and transportable from the vehicle. The Examiner asserts that Yofu discloses that navigation apparatus 14 is installed in a vehicle; however, there is no such disclosure with respect to client side terminal 11. For either of the foregoing reasons, the rejection of claim 9 should be withdrawn.

Claims 10-16, and 23-24 depend, either directly or indirectly, from claim 9, include further important limitations, and are patentable over Yofu for at least the reasons advanced above with respect to claim 9. The rejection of claims 10-16, and 23-24 should be withdrawn.

Further specifically with reference to claim 13, Yofu fails to disclose that the navigation devices receives business-related information from the user device, i.e., client side terminal device 11 corresponding to user device as asserted by the Examiner. For at least this reason, and the reasons advanced above with respect to claim 9, the rejection of claim 13 should be withdrawn.

Claims 17-20 have not been addressed by the Examiner and lacking any applied art rejections a notice of allowance is in order and requested.

Further, claim 17 is patentable over Yofu for at least reasons similar to those advanced above with respect to claims 1 and 9 and the rejection of claim 17 should be withdrawn.

All objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should be in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
LOWE HAUPTMAN & BERNER, LLP


Randy A. Noranbrock
Registration No. 42,940

Customer Number: 22429
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 300
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 684-1111
(703) 518-5499 Facsimile
Date: September 27, 2005
KMB/RAN/iyr